UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,821	03/06/2006	Klaus Becker-Weimann	42660119PUS1	7091
	7590 04/16/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		HAGEDORN, MICHAEL E		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/570,821	BECKER-WEIMANN, KLAUS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Hagedorn	3754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuory period veror to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	nril 2009				
'=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.				
′=	·—		esecution as to the merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· ·		annlination				
•	Claim(s) 10 - 17, 20 - 22 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· —	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) 10 - 17, 20 - 22 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10, 15 17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feldman (US Patent 4,067,481).

Feldman discloses an adhesive fluid dispensing device (figure 2), a dispensing tip (18) composed of a heat-conductive material (columns 3 - 4, lines 65 - 2), fluid cartridge (10), manually actuatable plunger (12), a cartridge heater (36) arranged around the adhesive fluid cartridge (10) from diametrically opposite sides (figure 2) that insulates the fluid cartridge (10), actuating ram (54) that acts on the plunger (12), the ram (54) is assigned a pistol-type handle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldman (US Patent 4,067,481) in view of Belanger et al. (US Patent 5,026,187).

Feldman has been discussed above and teaches the claimed invention except for wherein the dispensing tip is heated substantially by the adhesive fluid flowing out.

Page 3

Belanger et al. teaches the dispensing tip (18) is heated substantially by the adhesive fluid (8) flowing out (column 2, lines 26 - 29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the dispensing tip heated by the fluid flowing out because doing so will prevent the adhesive from cooling down and becoming hard before exiting the tip and allow the tip to remain hot without the use of an electric heater.

5. Claims 12, 13, 16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldman (US Patent 4,067,481) in view of Osborn et al. (US Patent 6,892,904).

Feldman has been discussed above and teaches the claimed invention except for the dispensing tip screwed onto a metal thread on the metal adhesive fluid cartridge and the dispensing device screwed or connected to the metal adhesive fluid cartridge; wherein the ram acts on a small surface of the plunger, which is less than the full surface of the plunger.

Osborn et al. teaches the dispensing tip (238) screwed onto a metal thread on the metal adhesive fluid cartridge (210) (Column 5, lines 23 – 25) and the dispensing device screwed or connected to the metal adhesive fluid cartridge (column 4, lines 49 – 53); wherein the ram (240) acts on a small surface of the plunger (242), which is less than the full surface of the plunger (Figure 2).

Art Unit: 3754

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a dispensing tip screwed on the fluid cartridge because this would allow the user to change if its breaks or needs cleaning; a device connected to the fluid cartridge would allow the cartridge to be replaced if needed and having a ram act on a smaller surface of the plunger would increase the heating efficiency of the device.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldman (US Patent 4,067,481) in view of Callan (US Patent 4,065,034).

Feldman has been discussed above and teaches the claimed invention except for wherein the dispensing tip is screwed on the dispensing device, and the dispensing device is screwed or connected to the fluid cartridge.

Callan teaches wherein the dispensing tip (16) is screwed on the dispensing device (3), and the dispensing device (3) is screwed or connected to the fluid cartridge (figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the dispensing tip attached to the dispensing device because then the tip and tip size can be changed without having to change the cartridge.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed April 6th, 2009 with respect to the claim objections and 112 2nd paragraph rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 10 - 21 have been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 3754

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10 - 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hagedorn whose telephone number is (571)270-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 5pm; Mon thru Fri except federal holidays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571)270-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754